

## Sean Butler

---

**From:** Sean Butler <sbutler@inet.net.au>  
**Sent:** Friday, 11 November 2011 12:24 PM  
**To:** David Gilbert (David.Gilbert@bankwest.com.au)  
**Subject:** Meeting and false allegations

Dear David,

(Please disregard the one just sent with no regards .... ☺)

Thank you for your response, I will provide an agenda by next Monday.

There have been a number of serious false allegations made about me by Mark Englebert. These will be on the agenda. These allegations need to be cleared up.

Could you please get the receivers to provide information, before the proposed meeting in response to these allegations.

*All untrue !! - Receivers Lied!*

Form F3 in Fair Work Australia dated 1 November 2011 and prepared by Minter Ellison Lawyers for Mark Englebert and Ian Francis:

Item 3.7 (b) **That the applicant (being Sean Butler) contacted or solicited (or attempted to engage, contact or solicit) employees of the company in potential breach of his duties...**

This allegation was also repeated in a letter from Minter Ellison Lawyers to me on the behalf of Mark Englebert and Ian Francis dated 4 November 2011 item 15 and 18

I have requested an explanation to this allegation but have not got one to date.

Please provide which employees this relates to, the dates involved, and written confirmation from the employees that this was done.

Form F3 in Fair Work Australia dated 1 November 2011 and prepared by Minter Ellison Lawyers for Mark Englebert and Ian Francis

Item 3.7 (d) **That the applicant (being Sean Butler) failed to discharge certain "information providing"**

This allegation was also repeated in a letter from Minter Ellison Lawyers to me on the behalf of Mark Englebert and Ian Francis dated 4 November 2011 item 22

I have requested an explanation but have not got one to date. They need to tell me if there is a problem

Please provide details of what this relates to.

Form F3 in Fair Work Australia dated 1 November 2011 and prepared by Minter Ellison Lawyers for Mark Englebert and Ian Francis

Item 3.7 (e) **That the Applicant generally acted in a manner adverse to the conduct of the Receivership.**

Please provide specific details, dates, who or what involved, description of conduct as to date only a general allegation has been made.

Regards

Sean Butler

0419 964 487

*They were unable to provide any evidence as proof  
All Lies !!*

-----Original Message-----

From: David.Gilbert@bankwest.com.au [mailto:David.Gilbert@bankwest.com.au]

Sent: Wednesday, 9 November 2011 11:48 AM

To: sbutler@iinet.net.au

Cc: Les.Nathan@bankwest.com.au

Subject: Re: National Hotel. Media

Sean,

Reference is made to the below, and to the number of e-mails that you have sent post this e-mail pertaining to various Receivership issues.

You have requested a meeting with the Bank, and this can be facilitated. I intend to include the Chief Manager of Credit and Asset Management to also be present.

As the issues you are raising pertain largely to the Receivership issues, I also intend to invite the Receiver and Managers together with our Legal representatives to this proposed meeting.

*Bankwest appointed them!!*

In order for all parties to be able to respond to the issues you are raising, can I please request that you provide an agenda of items you want to table, so that these can be addressed at the meeting.

Upon receipt of the agenda, I will then arrange with the various parties a mutually convenient time for all to be present.

With regards

*Note!*

David Gilbert | Senior Manager | Credit & Asset Management | Risk Management | Bankwest Level 33 Bankwest Tower, 108 St Georges Terrace, Perth WA 6000

Phone: +61 8 9449 7576, Mobile: 0437905054 | Fax: +61 8 9449 6451 | Email:

david.gilbert@bankwest.com.au

From: "Sean Butler" <sbutler@iinet.net.au>

To: "David Gilbert" <David.Gilbert@bankwest.com.au>

Date: 07/11/2011 03:21 PM

Subject: National Hotel. Media

Hi David,

The National Hotel Fremantle isn't on the market 16 weeks after receivers have been appointed. The selling agents don't know what's happening and neither do I. Same with the Lighthouse.

The things the receivers have indicated need to be done, some of which I had in hand and were doing, have been stopped and not recommenced. Why?

I have been sacked from my own profitable solvent company on the grounds of genuine redundancy which is simply not true. False allegations have been made about me. Why?

My company has capacity to pay the interest on all my share of the lighthouse debt and more, it should earn over \$500,000 from the business this year with the balance, up to another \$500k also available. On top of this its owed over \$400,000 which can be collected The National should be sold The Old houses should be sold if you want debt